REMARKS

This document is in response to the Office Action dated

August 21, 2006. Applicants appreciate the personal interview

conducted on September 7, 2006 with one of the Applicants, Jaime

Cuadros and with Applicants' local attorney, Robert E. Goozner. A

Statement of Substance of Interview was filed on September 27, 2006

and its contents are incorporated herein by reference.

The independent claims have been amended to include the limitation that the bore-rider stabilizer is attached directly to the rear of the projectile, as discussed at the interview. This limitation clearly defines the claims over the references cited.

Claims 1, 4/1, 5/1, 6/1, 8/1, 9/1, 10/1 and 11/1 have been rejected over Mangolds in view of Adelman. This rejection is respectively traversed. Claim 1 has been amended to clearly point out that the bore-rider stabilizer is attached directly to the rear of the projectile. The Examiner admits that Mangolds does not disclose a bore-rider stabilizer. Adelman has a distributing cover which is attached to the front of the projectile, not the rear, and Applicant is presenting herewith a declaration of Jaime Cuadros showing that the bore-rider stabilizer attached directly to the rear of the projectile, as claimed in this application, has superior guidance qualities to those of the prior art.

Further arguments with respect to the cover of Adelman's projectile were previously presented Applicants' prior Amendment, and are incorporated herein by reference.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 2, 3, 4/2, 4/3, 5/2, 5/3, 6/2, 6/3, 7/2, 7/3, 8/2, 8/3, 9/2, 9/3,12/2 and 12/3 as being unpatentable over Brunn et al in view of Adelman and in view of Wellcome. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claims 2 and 3 have also been amended to clearly point out that the borerider stabilizer is attached directly to the rear of the projectile. The Examiner admits that Brunn et al does not disclose a bore-rider stabilizer which is not part of the container attached to the rear of the closure. Neither does Adelman as discussed above. Wellcome also fails to disclose a bore-rider stabilizer attached directly to the rear of the projectile. Wellcome has wires 7 twisted together with weights 9 connected to the ends of the wires, Col. 2, lines 14-17.

In conclusion, none of the references cited Adelman, Brunn et al Kerr, Mangolds or Welcome, either separately or in combination, suggests a separate bore-rider stabilizer attached directly to the rear of a projectile.

In view of the amendments to the claims, the declaration of Jaime H. Cuadros submitted herewith and the arguments presented

herein, Applicant urges that the claims are now in condition for allowance and early allowance is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Sanford Astor (Reg. No. 20,748) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP

7 1:11/1/4

Astor, #20,74

221 N. Figueroa St.

Suite 1200

Los Angeles, CA 90012

213-250-1800